

# Realigning the Human Resources Function

Leveraging current state assessment and benchmarking methodologies to bring HR back into alignment with business needs



# The Challenge

It is an exciting time in the HR community, as members no longer need to push to get a seat at the table and are considered significant drivers of change and value. However, we must recognize that where the HR function stands today is, in many cases, the result of multiple years of cost-cutting initiatives. After already achieving a lean state of operation, it is not uncommon to find that HR size and cost has been reduced even further. Yet, just when organizations have tirelessly worked to minimize costs as much as possible, executives have become even more aware of the importance of HR within firms, where talent is a crucial asset. As a result, firms are consistently asking HR to take on larger, more strategic roles, requiring the function to now do more with even less. The expectations for HR have never been higher—from implementing new talent strategies, to leveraging analytics for decision making, redefining the employee experience to meet increasingly complex global and generational demands, and being stewards of culture and change management.

The outcome of these two contradictory mandates—"lower costs" and "contribute more"—has left firms scattered across a broad spectrum of HR operating models and actual contributions to the business. Many HR functions find themselves seesawing back and forth between centralizing and de-centralizing, functionalizing and creating centers of expertise, and driving self-service and retaining high-touch support, all while engaging in an increasingly intense war for talent and trying to keep pace with a dynamic business environment. It's no wonder that many HR functions lose sight of their strategic priorities and find that, after long cycles of focusing inward, the business has evolved without them, leaving their structure and solutions misaligned with the business' needs.

As we look across a set of high-performing financial services, FinTech, and professional services firms, we see widely disparate outcomes, with some institutions spending as little as \$500 per employee to provide HR services, and others spending ten times that or more. Similarly, ratios of the number of heads supported by HR range from as low as 20:1 to as high as 100:1. Interestingly, our data indicates that while there are links between organization size or industry sector and HR support ratios and costs, there are many organizations that don't fully realize the efficiencies of scale that could be gained through optimization.

As HR becomes increasingly misaligned with the organization, it faces the following challenges:


- Increasing employee frustration, driven by ineffective processes that lack easily accessible and relevant HR support and information, and a decentralized web of systems that may not be integrated.
- Fragmented and siloed HR structures that no longer align to the business, hinder the ability to mobilize resources across the function, and prevent a cohesive HR function strategy.
- Inability to meet business needs, resulting from a mismatch of needs and capabilities, a reactive vs.
  proactive HR function, and an inward program focus, as opposed to a scalable outcome structure
  enabling an agile HR function.

Amid fighting many battles, only some of which are mentioned above, HR leaders ultimately need to pause and return the focus to a strategic level, where the following sequential questions can be addressed:

- 1. What is our business strategy, its implications for our talent strategy, and what is the role of HR in enabling both?
- 2. How is our HR function performing today? Are we focused on and investing in the right priorities?
- 3. What do we need to change in our operating model to better support the business?

#### The Solution

To answer these questions, HR must follow a process of current state assessment and refinement:



Understanding your current state is a critical factor in any HR optimization or realignment effort to establish a baseline measurement rooted in data and fact, as opposed to hearsay and past experience.

So, where should you begin? It is crucial to gather input from a variety of sources to understand the path forward. Insight from multiple sources that are internal and external to the HR function and organization, can provide a more complete perspective on what the function looks like now and what it should look like in the future. We recommend collecting and analyzing the following data as part of any complete HR assessment.

- Your business strategy should heavily inform any future state and path forward for the HR function. Further, your business strategy should influence your talent strategy. If one does not exist, a clearly articulated talent strategy can be helpful in aligning executives and HR on talent priorities.
- In-depth audit and benchmarking of HR costs and structure against leading and comparable organizations is key. Beyond fundamental comparisons of cost and staffing levels relative to enterprise scale vs. peers, firms also will find value in understanding how HR should be organized—what the optimal mix of seniority is, what level of responsibility is needed in individual HR functions (business partners, compensation & benefits, talent acquisition, learning & development, HR operations, etc.), and for smaller, growing firms, what size team and what kind of capabilities they should plan to acquire at a given size and growth trajectory.
- Stakeholder feedback is critical to gain qualitative and quantitative insights into the perceived value and effectiveness of HR's services. Stakeholders typically include senior executives, people managers, employees, and select HR team members.
- An HR Activity survey can be used to outline and summarize how HR employees are spending their time across career level, region, and business unit.

#### The Right People Focused on the Right Priorities

- Up to 68% of HR's time is spent on administrative activities
- 36% of HR executives' time is misaligned to lowvalue tasks
- 35% of a typical HR function's activity could be automated, creating capacity for higher value activities


After collecting and analyzing various input and data from your organization, it is time to strategize and complete your vision for the future. There are various models that represent a best-in-class HR function. What is most important is that the HR structure and model are tailored to fit your organization's needs. One size does not fit all.

Alignment with your business strategy, talent strategy, leading practices and benchmarks, and your HR talent's capabilities are all critical factors for designing your future state. It's not just about drawing the boxes and redesigning the work. These four key enablers for any HR function must also be addressed: leadership, governance, analytics, and technology.



# **Taking Action**

While establishing the right model and level of resources is a critical foundation for any HR function, simply having the right structure is not enough. There are other factors to consider for a holistic approach to be truly effective. As summarized in the image below, we recommend four critical objectives for any transformation effort: having the right people, aligning within the right model, ensuring you are equipped with the right capabilities, and being focused on delivering outside-in value and solutions to internal stakeholders.



Finally, with these critical pieces in place, HR leaders need to keep one more thing in mind—the HR function, like a business, is dynamic and must remain agile in order to grow with the firm and remain in alignment as objectives, priorities, and needs change over time. Leaders should foster a continuous improvement mindset in which they periodically assess and benchmark the function and adjust as necessary to ensure alignment with evolving business and talent strategies.

# Contacts

#### Ben Brantley, Senior Consultant, HR Effectiveness

Aor

+1 212 441 1193

ben.brantley@aon.com

## Michael Martin, Partner, HR Effectiveness

Aor

+1.917.588.2792

michael.martin.6@aon.com

#### **Dave Smith, Associate Partner, McLagan**

Aon

+1 203 602 1206

dsmith@mclagan.com

### About McLagan

McLagan provides tailored talent, rewards, and performance expertise to financial services firms across the globe. Since 1966, we have partnered with the largest and smallest financial services firms to help them make data-driven decisions to hire, retain, and engage the top talent for keeping the global economy running. Our compensation surveys are the most comprehensive, in-depth source of rewards data covering over 150 countries from more than 2,500 clients. Our consultants work with hundreds of firms annually to design total rewards programs and benchmark financial performance for boards of directors, executives, employees, and sales professionals. McLagan is a part of Aon plc (NYSE: AON). For more information, please visit mclagan.aon.com.

#### **About Aon**

Aon plc (NYSE:AON) is a leading global professional services firm providing a broad range of risk, retirement and health solutions. Our 50,000 colleagues in 120 countries empower results for clients by using proprietary data and analytics to deliver insights that reduce volatility and improve performance. For further information on our capabilities and to learn how we empower results for clients, please visit http://aon.mediaroom.com.

#### © Aon plc 2018. All rights reserved.

The information contained herein and the statements expressed are of a general nature and are not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. Although we endeavor to provide accurate and timely information and use sources we consider reliable, there can be no guarantee that such information is accurate as of the date it is received or that it will continue to be accurate in the future. No one should act on such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.

www.aon.com

